

PERFOR	MANCE .	TRENDS	OVER 4	1-YFAR	PFRICD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004			

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

31.8

32.7

53.3

48.7

53.4

48.7

53.4

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	24	51	44
Percent satisfied with learning environment	95.8%	93.9%	77.3%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.8%	98.0%	75.0%

82.6%

100.0%

84.1%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

Percent satisfied with home-school relations

Lone Oak Elementary 4206061

PACT PERFORMANCE	E BY GR	OUP						
		/,		alon Basic		/ &	/ <u>v</u>	cient and street
	/	en Testing	Tested old	"Basin	Basic ok	Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	cientand co
	ryolir	40, ol	(8) \ A	Slon of	Bas	640 OF	ACT PIO	PGA,o.
	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	``````````````````````````````````````	0/02				0/0,	cient ancel
All students		00.4	=	igiisn/Lar	iguage A			
Gender	160	99.4	32.7	48.7	18.0	0.7	18.7	17.6
Male	89	100.0	33.7	48.8	17.4	N/A	17.4	17.6
Female	71	98.6	31.3	48.4	18.8	1.6	20.3	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	7 1	00.0	01.0	10.1	10.0	1.0	20.0	17.0
White	82	100.0	23.5	55.6	19.8	1.2	21.0	17.6
African-American	45	100.0	38.1	42.9	19.0	N/A	19.0	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	26	96.2	60.0	30.0	10.0	N/A	10.0	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	141	99.3	29.2	50.0	20.0	0.8	20.8	17.6
Disabled	19	100.0	55.0	40.0	5.0	N/A	5.0	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	160	99.4	32.7	48.7	18.0	0.7	18.7	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	16	93.8	78.6	21.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	144	100.0	27.9	51.5	19.9	0.7	20.6	17.6
Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals	400	00.4	00.0	45.4	45.5	N.1/A	45.5	47.0
	106	99.1	39.2	45.4	15.5	N/A	15.5	17.6
Full-pay meals	54	100.0	20.8	54.7	22.6	1.9	24.5	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	160	100.0	31.8	47.7	15.2	5.3	20.5	15.5
Gender	100	100.0	31.0	47.7	13.2	0.0	20.5	10.0
Male	89	100.0	22.1	55.8	17.4	4.7	22.1	15.5
Female	71	100.0	44.6	36.9	12.3	6.2	18.5	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	11	100.0	11.0	00.0	12.0	0.2	10.0	10.0
White	82	100.0	27.2	48.1	17.3	7.4	24.7	15.5
African-American	45	100.0	40.5	47.6	9.5	2.4	11.9	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	26	100.0	42.9	47.6	9.5	N/A	9.5	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	141	100.0	29.8	46.6	17.6	6.1	23.7	15.5
Disabled	19	100.0	45.0	55.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	160	100.0	31.8	47.7	15.2	5.3	20.5	15.5
English Proficiency		400.0	00.0	00-	40.0		46.0	4
Limited English proficient	16	100.0	60.0	26.7	13.3	N/A	13.3	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	144	100.0	28.7	50.0	15.4	5.9	21.3	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								

Abbreviations for Missing Data

37.8

20.8

48.0

47.2

26.4

5.1

14.3

32.1

15.5

100.0

100.0

106

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enrolle	ald to ole	1881 010 86	NOW OF	882 0/0	540.	Adv olo Profit
		\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	<u>~</u>	/ 9/0			<u>/ </u>	0/0,
					n/Langua			
	Grade 3	46	N/A	25.0	43.2	29.5	2.3	31.8
	Grade 4	51	N/A	14.0	68.0	16.0	2.0	18.0
2002	Grade 5	45	N/A	39.0	34.1	26.8	N/A	26.8
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	54	100.0	24.5	45.3	28.3	1.9	30.2
	Grade 4	48	97.9	28.6	52.4	19.0	N/A	19.0
2003	Grade 5	58	100.0	43.6	49.1	7.3	N/A	7.3
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	CS		
	Grade 3	46	N/A	34.1	40.9	25.0	N/A	25.0
	Grade 4	51	N/A	26.0	44.0	16.0	14.0	30.0
2002	Grade 5	45	N/A	29.3	41.5	24.4	4.9	29.3
2	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	54	100.0	28.3	47.2	13.2	11.3	24.5
	Grade 4	48	100.0	34.9	41.9	20.9	2.3	23.3
2003	Grade 5	58	100.0	32.7	52.7	12.7	1.8	14.5
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CH					

SCHOOL PROFILE				
(Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 311)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	1.6%	Down from 2.9%	2.7%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	96.3%	Up from 93.1%	95.5%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	10.1%	Down from 11.0%	11.6%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7.2%	Up from 6.1%	9.0%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.9%	Up from 1.6%	1.3%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 19)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	57.9%	No change	47.3%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	84.2%	Down from 89.5%	85.5%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 90.7%	Up from 88.0%	86.5%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.9%	Down from 97.3%	95.2%	95.3%
	\$40,949	Up 0.4%	\$39,486	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	10.5 days	Up from 8.3 days	12.3 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	21.3 to 1	Up from 19.5 to 1	18.5 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	92.8%	Up from 90.2%	89.6%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,711	Up 7.6%	\$5,997	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	66.2%	Up from 65.9%	66.1%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Up from 98.3%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
			•	•

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to oboug in high payarty cabacle	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Αb	brevia	tions t	or M	issing	Da	ta
----	--------	---------	------	--------	----	----

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2002-2003 school year at Lone Oak Elementary was devoted to meeting the challenges of academic improvement coupled with serving a highly mobile population. Students, staff and supportive parents were committed to helping newly arrived students adjust positively to their new school. Together we met the challenges of meeting the needs of non-English-speaking students and joined with members of the business community, local universities and the church community to provide individualized instruction for all students in need. Together we followed our school motto "Striving for Excellence" and implemented best practices for children. We continued our improvement initiative by adding a comprehensive computer laboratory program with a full-time technology assistant. All grade levels routinely visit the computer lab and practice with lessons based on individual student need.

Validation of student effort and achievement is evident throughout the Lone Oak campus. The school is attractively decorated with the art and academic work of the students. Landscaping by students, an outdoor classroom designed and maintained by the science program, living plants, aquariums and teacher/student created displays contribute to the overall student-centered atmosphere.

Our enrollment continues to fluctuate with high mobility and continues to increase in cultural diversity. Our Hispanic population has risen from 3% in 1994 to 22% in 2003. Meeting the needs of newly immigrated students has become a focus which resulted in best practices for all students. We are adept at individualization of instruction based on need and moving each child toward improvement. Our strength and creativity come from our diversity, leaving all children better prepared to meet the needs of a global community.

Our writing initiative began four years ago and continues to be a focus. We are a community of writers and celebrate student and staff success. We have partnered with USCS and the Spartanburg Writing Project to expand our program to all areas of the curriculum. Special assemblies and traveling theater groups keep students highly motivated and committed to improvement.

All of us at Lone Oak Elementary School are proud of our students and their individual accomplishments. Students who remain with us are very competitive in local, state and national competitions. Opportunities are continually provided to meet individual's needs and interests. We are confident that students who remain with us will continue to improve. We have high expectations for our students and are confident that our academic program will prepare them to meet the increasingly rigorous academic demands of the future.

Barbara Mills, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.